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## Item 1. Business.

The Company is engaged primarily in furnishing a trading stamp service to retail merchants and their customers. Approximately 21,000 retailers distribute the Company's stamps in over 23,000 retail outlets in California, Nevada, Oregon and Arizona. The Company maintains 89 redemption stores where stamps may be redeemed for a wide variety of merchandise or for cash. The Company is also engaged in the development of incentive and premium programs and, to a limited extent, supermarket games and sales continuity promotions.

During the fiscal year ended February 27, 1971 the Company was faced with increased competition from two sources. First, a number of supermarket: operators discontinued or reduced the use of trading stamps in connection with their conversion to so-calied discount merchandising, a rival form of promotion. Second, a major trading stamp company converted its California operations to the Company's low price, non-franchised manner of doing business. As a result, stamp service income declined from $\$ 125,888,000$ for the fiscal year ended February 28 , 1970 to $\$ 120,015,000$ for the fiscal year ended February 27, 1971. This decline occurred in the last half of the year.

The Company's issuance of stamps to major customers (ihose accounting for $1 \%$ or more) declined from $32 \%$ of total issuance in the 1969-1970 fiscal year to $26 \%$ in the 1970-1971 fiscal year. Included in this group was a national supermarket chain which accounted for $4 \%$ of the Company's issuance of stamps in the 1970-1971 fiscal year as compared with $10 \%$ or more in prior years.

## Item 2. Summary of Operations.

The following statements of income and of stockholders' equity should be read in conjunction with the Company's financial statements and notes thereto appearing on pages 6 to 10 of the attached printed annual report.


Costs and expenses:
Cost of redemptions, consisting of
merchandise and relaced expenses
Selilng, general and administracive
expenses
Debenkure interest and amortiza
Income before income caxes and
extraordinary charges
Provision for income taxes
Income before extraordinary charges
Excraordinary charges - setclements of law
suics and claimg less applicable income taxes
Net income
Per shmre ${ }^{\boldsymbol{*}}$
Income before extraordinary charges
Entraordinary charges
Net incorac

STATEMENT OF iNCOHE

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. } \\ 1967 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar. } 2 \\ 1968 \end{gathered}$ | $\operatorname{Mar}_{1969} 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } 28 \\ & 1970 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } 27 \\ & 19{ }^{19} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | usands | c for | ts per sh |  |


| \$92,028 | \$51,757 | \$108,449 | 5125,888 | \$120,015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2,045 \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,328 \\ \quad 579 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,096 \\ -938 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,748 \\ 1424 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,986 \\ 1,566 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 94,676 | 94,664 | 112,483 | 132,060 | 127,567 |
| 81,854 | 83,797 | 94,703 | 108,977 | 104,392 |
| 6,531 | 6,101 | $\begin{array}{r} 6,761 \\ \quad 255 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7,275 \\ 1,063 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8,558 \\ & 1,063 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 87,885 | 89,898 | 101,719 | 117,315 | 114,013 |
| 6,791 | 4,766 | 10,764 | 14,745 | 13,554 |
| 2,507 | 1.348 | 5.120 | 6,980 | 4.970 |
| 4,284 | 3,418 | 5.646 | 7,765 | 8,584 |
| - | - | (3,651) | (378) | $-$ |
| \$ 4284 | \$ 3,418 | 5 1,993 | S 7,387 | \$ 8,584 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2.38 \\ & -7 \end{aligned}$ | \$1. 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1.60 \\ & (1.03) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1.54 \\ (.08) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$1.69 |
| \$1.38 | \$1. 10 | \$. 57 | \$1.46 | \$1.69 |

*Per share amounts are based upon the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding
adjusted for a five-for-one scock splic in October 1969 and for the dilutive effect of all our-
standing stock options. Such dilution is calculated assuming ali such options have been exercised
and the proceeds ased to purchase the Company's stock at the average market price during che year.
Sharee including dilurion were: $3,108,000$ in 1967 and 1968, $3,530,000$ in 1969, $5,057,000$ in 1970
and $5,080,000$ in 1971 . No change inf per share amounts would result from wage of the more restric-
tive "fuily diluted" method.

The decline in net income for the fiscal year ended March 2, 1968 was due primarily to the additionai costs resulting from operation of a distribution center at Rancho Cordova, California from September 1966 to June 1968. The decline in net income for the fiscal year ended March 1, 1969 was due primarily to settlements of lawsuits and claims which are reflected above as extraordinary charges. The decline in stamp service income for the fiscal year ended February 27, 1971 was caused primarily by increased competition, as explained in Item 1; the increase in net income during this period, despite lower revenues, resulted from increased aftertax yield on the investment portfolio.

Revenues, earnings and earnings per share as set forth above are not necessarily indicative of future revenues, earnings and earnings per share. As explained in Item 1, increased competition resulted in a decline in stamp service income in the last half of the fiscal year ended February 27, 1971. Consequently, revenues and earnings for the entire fiscal year did not bear the full impact of such competition, and as long as it continues revenues and earnings are expected to be adversely affected. The Company is also of the opinion that revenues and earnings would be adversely affected by any of the following: (1) further increases in competition; (2) discontinuance by retailers of the practice of giving consumers muitiple stamps (more than one stamp for each 10 cents of sales), a promotion technique which has been widespr:ad the past three years; (3) a sale of one-third of the Company's California triading stamp business (see Item 5(a) and Note 9 to the financial statements in the attached printed annual report).

STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

|  | Conmion Stock |  | Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares* | Amount |  |  |
| Balance at February 26, 1966 | 3,108,000 | \$ 207,000 |  | \$15,151,000 |
| Net income for the fiscal year ended March 4. 1967 | - | - |  | 4,284,000 |
| Salance at March 4, 1967 | 3,108,000 | 207,000 |  | 19,435,000 |
| Net income for the fiscal year ended March 2, 1968 | - | - |  | 3,418,000 |
| Balance at March 2, 1968 | 3,108,000 | 207,000 |  | 22,853,000 |
| Sale of restricted steck to employees | 137,000 | 9,000 |  | . |
| Sale of atock to users | 1,626,000 | 109,000 | \$2,878,000 | - |
| Net incone for the fiacel year. ended Marcti 1, 1969 | - |  | - | 1,99.:000 |
| Dalance at March 1, 1969 | 4,371,000 | 325,000 | 2,878,000 | 24,846 000 |
| Exercise of stock optione | Tิ\%,000 | 79,000 | 403,000 | - |
| Change in par value | - | 4,546.000 | $(2,878,000)$ | $(1,668,000)$ |
| Cash dividends of $\$ .10$ per share | - | - | - | $(487,000)$ |
| Net income for the fiscal year ended February 28, 1970 |  | - | - | 7,387,000 |
| Balance at February 28, 1970 | 4,950,000 | 4,950,000 | 403,000 | 30,078,000 |
| Exercise nf atock options | 77,000 | 77,000 | 393,000 | - |
| Purchase of stock from terminated caployees | (1,000) | $(1,000)$ | - | - |
| Cash dividetids of $\mathrm{S} .24 \mathrm{pe}=$ = harm | - | - | $\checkmark$ | $(1,188,000)$ |
| Net incume for the fiscel van ended February 27, 1971 | - | - | - | 3,584,000 |
| Balance at February 27, 1971 | 5,026,009 | \$5,026,000 | S 796,000 | \$ $237,474,000$ |

Item 3. Properties.
The Company's principal offices are located at 5801 South Eastern Avenue, Los Angeles, where the Company occupies approximately 25,000 square feet of space under a lease running to July 31, 1975. (The Company has an option to extend the lease an additional five years.)

The Company operates distribution centars at Los Angeles and at Richmond (near San Francisco). The Los Angeles distribution center has a storage capacity of approximately $6,100,000$ cubic feet and is leased under an agreement expiring July 31, 1.975 (with a five-year renewal option). The Richond distribution center has a storage capacity of approximately 4,900,000 cubic feet and is owned by the Company in fer

The Company normally leases its redemption stores for initial terms of ten years with five-year renewal options.

Item 4. Parents and Subsidiaries.
The Company has no parents and no subsidiaries.

## Item 5. Pending Legal Proceedings.

(a) United States of America v. Blue Chip Stamp Company 2 Alexander's Markets, Lucky Stores, Inc., Market Basket, Purity Stores, Inc., Ralphs Grocery Company, Safeway Stores, Incorporated, Thriftimart, Inc., Thrifty Drug Stores. Inc., and Von's Grocery Co. (United States District Court, Central District of California, Civil Action No. 63-1552-F).

This action under the Sherman Act was instituted by the United States Department of Justice on December 26, 1963 against the Company's predecessor and a Consent Decree was entered therein on June 5, 1967. Pursuant to said Consent Decree, the Company within 30 months after April 6, 1970 is required to submit to the Court a plan for the sale of one-third of its California trading stamp business located within a contiguous geographical area in Southern California.
(b) The following three actions were brought against the Company in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, by plaintiffs engaged in the issuance of trading stamps and allege violations of the Sherman Act:
(i) EZY Cash Stamp Company, inc. v. Blue Chip Stamp Company. Civil Action No. 50143 filed October 15, 1968.
(ii) Bel Air Mart, a corporation, dba Bel Air Markets and Mark Five Stamp Company; and George R. Wong, William Wong, Gim Wong, Gene Wong, Paul Wong, Albert Wong, and Allie Fong, a partnership, dba Bel Air Markets v. Lucky Stcres, Inc.i. Purity Stores, Inc.; Safeway Stores, Inc.i and Thrifty Drug Stores Co. Inc. Civil Action No. 50979 filed April 8, 1969.
(iii) Evergreen Stamp Company, Inc. v. Blue Chip Stamp Company. Civil Action No. 51811 filed July 27, 1969.

In the Bel Air Mart actipn the plaintiffs also allege violations of the Clayton Act, and litigation counsel for the Company are also defending the action on behalf of four stockholders of the Company's predecessor. In each action plaintiffs assert injuries in amounts not yet ascertained and seek treble damages plus attorneys' fees and costs.
(:) The following two actions were brought against the Company in the United States District Court, Central District of California, by plaintiffs engaged in the oil bwsiness and allege violations of the Slonman Act, the Clayton Act and the California Unfair Practices Act:
(i) Douglas Oil Company of California v. Blue Chip Stamp Company and Blue Chip Stamps. Civil Action No. 69-1532-DWW filed August 5, 1969.
(ii) Time Oil Co, Top Dil Co., and Richmond Sav-Mor Oil Co. V. Blue Chip Stamp Company and Blue Chip Stamps. Civil Action No. 1585-DWW filed August 12, 1969.

In the Douglas Oil action the plaintiff alleges damages in the sum of $\mathrm{il}, 050,000$ and prays for the recovery of treble damages plus attorneys' fees and costs. In the Time Oil action the plaintiffs allege damages in an amount not yet ascertained and seek treble damages plus attorneys' fees and costs.
(d) The following five actions were brought against the Company in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, by plaintiffs engaged in the oil business and allege violations of the Sherman Act, Clayton Act and the California Unfair Practices Act:
(i) Sonora Saving Center, Tahoe Saving Center and Coast Oil Company (on its behalf and as assignee for 15 assignors) v. Blue Chip Stamp Company and Blue Chip Stamps. Civil Action No. 69-677 filed December 31, 1969.
(ii) Beacon Oil Company v. Blue Chip Stamp Company and Blue Chip Stamps. Civil Action No. 70-120 filed January 16 , 1970.
(iii) Sure-Save Stations, Inc. Freeway Oil Company, J. A. Wickland, Jr., all doing business as King Dollar, and Wickland Oil Company v. Blue Chip Stamp Company and Blue Chip Stamps. Civil Action No. 70-476 Filed March 4, 1970.
(iv) Mohawk Petroleum Corporation v. Blue Chip Stamp Company and Blue Chip Stamps. Civil Action No. 71-645 filed April 2, 1971.
(v) Gulf Oil Corporation v. Blue Chip Stamp Company and Blue Chip Stamps. Civil Action No. 71-664 filed April 6, 1971.

Each complaint asserts injuries in an amount not yet ascertained and seeks treble damages plus attorneys' fees and costs.
(e) Manor Drug Stores, and all otner users of Blue Chip Stamps who were entitled but failed to purchase stock of Blue Chip Stamps, on behalf of themselves and all other persons similarly
situated v. Blue Chip Stamps, Blus Chip Stamp Co., a merged corporation, Alexandex's Markets, Lucky Stores, Inc, Market Basket, Purity Stores, Inc., Ralphs Grocery Company, Safeway Stores, Inc., Thriftimart, Inc., Thrifty Drug Stores Co. 2 Inc. , Vons Grocexy Co, Robert E. Alexander, Donald A. Koeppeli, Leonard H. Scraus, Wiliam F. Ramsey, Robert E. Laverty, Richard Ralphs, John R. Niven, Fred Von der Ahe.

This purported class action was fited on November 10, 1970 in the United States District Court, Central District of California as Civil Action No. 70-2539. The amended complaint filed January 27, 1971 alleges damages to plaintiffs of $\$ 21,400,000$ and exemplary damages of $\$ 25,000,000$ based Lupon alleged breach of contract, fraud and misrepresentation in connection with an offering of securities by the Company in 1968. Plaintiffs also seek to recover interest, attorneys' fees and costs and to obtain the right to purchase stock, or units of stock and debentures, of the Company on the terms of the 1968 offering.
(f) Eleanor A. Botney and Thelma G. Daar, in behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated v. Blue Chip Stamps.

This action No. 997374 was filed on March 1, 1971 in the Superior Court of the Stace of California for the County of Los Angeles for declaratory relief and for money as a purported class action to recover for trading stamp savers monies collected as reimbursement for California sales taxes on redemption of stamps. Plaintiffs claim that the redemption transaction is not taxable and all such collections should be returned to trading stamp savers or, alternatively, that such collections exceeded the tax payable and such excess should be returned. The Company has paid sales taxes to the staie equal to its reimhursement collections, which in recent years have averaged about $\$ 7,000,000$ annually. In the opinion of counsel for the Company claims can be asserted against the state for reimbursement for all or part of any recovery by plaintiffs in the event they prevail in the action.

Item 6. ILAreases and Decreases in Ouǐstanding Equity Securities.
Fullowing is an analysis of changes in the amount of the Company's $\$ 1.00$ par value common stock outstanding during the fiscal year ended February 27, 1971:

|  | Shares | imount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, Februaxy 28, 1970 | 4,950,000 | \$4, 950,000 |
| Exercise of stock options, <br> April 1970 and February 1971 | 77,000 | 77,000 |
| Purchase of stock from terminated employees, various dates | $(1,000)$ | $(1,000)$ |
| Balance, Februaxy 27, 1971 | 5,026,000 | \$5,026,000 |

For additional information on the Company's qualified stock option plan, reference should be made to Note 5 to the Company's financial statements in the attached printed annual report and to the supplementary information contained in the second paragraph on page $\mathrm{S}-3$ hercof.

Shares sold pursuant to the qualified stock option plan have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933. The issuance of such shares is exempt from registration under said Act pursuant to Section $4(2)$ thereof as not involving any public offering. Said shares have been issued on exercise of options granted to 16 officers and key employees pursuant to said plan, which requires optionees upon exercise of options to represent: that: the shares are acquired for investment.

## Item 7. Approximate Number of Equity Security Holders.

Number of record holders

## Title of Class

Common stock, par value $\$ 1.00$ per share
as of April 15, 1971

3,451

Item 8. Executive Officers of the Registrant.
Following is a list of the Company's executive officers, whose ages range from 53 to 38 years:

| Name | Position |
| :--- | :--- |
| Donald A. Koeppel | Chairman of the Board and <br> President |
| William F. Ramsey | Executive Vice President <br> Kaymord H. Allen |
| Vice President, Information <br> Systems |  |
| Jilliam K. Klepper | Vice President, Operations |
| Robert H. Bird | Vice President, Merchandise |
| Secretary and Treasurer |  |

Item 9. Indermification of Directors and Officers.
Reference is made to Item 29 of Part II of the Company's Registration Statement (Form S-1) No. 2-35318 dated December 17, 1969.

Item 10. Financial Statements And Exhibits Filed
(a) Financial statements:

Index to Financial Statement's

The February 28, 1970 and February 27,1971 finarcial statements, together with the opinion thereon of Price Waterhouse $\&$ Co. dated April 13, 1971, appearing on pages 6 to 11 of the accompanyixy 1971 printed annual report are incorporated in this Form 10-K Annual Report. With the exception of the aforementioned information, the 1971 printed annual report is not to be deemed filed as part of this report. The following additionel financial data should be read in conjunction with the financial statements in the 1971 printed annual report. Schedules not included with this additional financial data have been omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

## Additional Financial Data

|  | Page <br> number |
| :--- | :---: |
| Consent of independent accountants |  |$\quad \mathrm{S}-1$

(b) Exhibits:
(1) Incorporated by reference to current report (Form 8-K) for May 197C -

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Exhibit 3. } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Charter and by-1aws } \\
\text { 3.1-2 } \\
\text { Amendment to articles of } \\
\text { incorporation of }
\end{array} \\
\text { May 28, } 1970
\end{array}
$$

(2) Filed herewith -
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { Exhibit 11. } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Pension plan } \\ \text { 11.1-2 }\end{array} \\ \text { Amendment to pension plan } \\ \text { dated as of June 1, } 1969\end{array}\right\}$

Items 11 to 15 Inclusive:
These items are omitted pursuant to General Instruction H to Form 10-K. The Company has filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A for its annual meeting of stockholders scheduled for May 27, 1971.

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 , the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on $i$, behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BLUE CHIP STAMPS

Dated Men 27, 1971

R. H. Bird

Secretary and Treasurer

## CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

We hereby consent to the application of our opinion, which appears on page 11 of the 1971 printed annual report of Blue Chip Stamps, to the additional financial data listed in the foregoing index when this data is read in conjunction with the financial statements in such annual report; our opinion and the financial statements have been incorporated in this Form 10-K Annual Report. The examinations referred to in our opinion included examinations of the additional financial data.

> Price cuatichause d lo. PRICE WATERHOUSE \& Co.

606 South Olive Street
Los Angeles 90014
April 13, 1971

## SUPPLEMENTARY INEORMATION TO

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

|  | February $27,1971$ | February $28,1970$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income from marketable securities - |  |  |
| Interest | \$2,440,000 | \$3,374,000 |
| Dividends | 3,763,000 | 1,334,000 |
| Gains (losses) on sale of securities, net | (217,000) | 40,000 |
|  | \$5,986.000 | \$4,748,000 |

The cost of securities sold is determined by the firstin, first-out method.

Included in interest on debentures is amortization of debenture discount amounting to $\$ 358,000$ per year. Debentiure discount is being amortized over the term of the debentures by use of the debentures outstanding method.

Provisions for income taxes include state taxes of $\$ 688,000$ and $\$ 876,000$ for the fiscal years ended February 27 , 1971 and February 28, 1970, respectively.

Opening and closing inventories for the two fiscal years ended February 27, 1971 are as follows:

| March 1, 1969 | $\$ 12,742,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| February 28, 1970. | $19,011,000$ |
| February 27, 1971 | $17,197,000$ |

The estinated useful lives used in computing depreciation are as follows:

Buildings 20 to 33 years
Furniture, fixtures and equipment
Leasehold improvements

3 to 10 years
Lives of leáses

Expenditures for renewals and betterments of property, fixtures and equipment are capitalized; maintenance and repair costs are charged to income as incurred. When assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, the accounts are relieved of applicable cost and accumulated depreciation and amortization, and any gain or loss on disposal is credited or charged to income.

Under the Company's qualified stock option plan, options for 332,500 shares were granted in February 1969 at $\$ 6.10$ per share, and options for 7,500 shares were granted in March 1970 at $\$ 14.75$ per share, fair market values at dates granted. The options are exercisable in four annual instalments beginning one year acter date of grant and expire five, ears after such date.

The following table summarizes information relating to such stock option plan during the two fiscal years ended February 27, 1971:

Year ended

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { February } \\ & 27,1971 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { February } \\ & 28, \quad 1970 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 76,750 | 81,250 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6.10 \\ & \$ 468,175 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6.10 \\ & \$ 495,625 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 17.00 \\ & \$ 1,304,750 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 16.50 \\ & \$ 1,3+0,625 \end{aligned}$ |
| 77,000 | 79,000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6.10 \\ & \$ 469,700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6.10 \\ & \$ 481,900 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 14.50-\$ 17.13 \\ & \$ 1,205,600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15.00-\$ 16.50 \\ & \$ 1,302,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| to purchase 163 <br> of $\$ 1,059,175$ <br> cisable for \$12 | 000 shares ncluding 200). |

Increases (decreases) in the components of working capital are set forth below:

|  | 1971 | 1970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current assets: |  |  |
| Cash | \$ 63,000 | (\$ 1,947,000) |
| Markevabie securities | 880,000 | 18,387,000 |
| Accounts receivable | 1,089,000 | 337,000 |
| Inventories | $(1,814,000)$ | 6,269,000 |
| Prepaid expenses | $(55,000)$ | 691,000 |
|  | 163,000 | $23,737,000$ |
| Less - current liabilities: |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 2,455,000 | $(2,414,000)$ |
| Income taxes payable | 5,561,000 | $(6,193,000)$ |
| Liability for unredeemed trading stamps | (1,240,000) | $(7,548,000)$ |
|  | 6,776,000 | $(16,155,000)$ |
| Working capital | \$6,939,000 | \$ 7,582,000 |

SCHEDULE I - MARKETABLE SECLRITIES FEBRUARY 27, 1971


| Name of issuer and title of issue | Number of shares or units principal aroount of bonds and notes | ```Amount at which varried in balance sheet``` | Value based on current market quotations at February 27, 1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preferred stock (Continued) | Shares |  |  |
| Panhandle Eastern <br> Fipe Co., 8.60\% Cum. | 11,400 | \$ 1,146,000 | \$ 1,163,000 |
| Potomac Electric Power, $\$ 4.50$ Serial of 1970 | 35,110 | 1,755,000 | 2,054,000 |
| Savannah Electric \& Power Co., 8.32\% Cum. |  |  |  |
| Series C | 20,000 | 2,004,000 | 2,100,000 |
| Southern Calif. Edison, 8.96\% Cum. | 50,000 | 5,000,000 | 5,661,000 |
| Texas Gas Transmission, 9.36\% Cum. | 10,000 | 1,000,000 | 1,040,000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trunkline Gas Co., } \\ & 9.12 \% \end{aligned}$ | 18,000 | 1,718,000 | 1,836,000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tri-Continental Corp. } \\ & \$ 2.50 \mathrm{Cum.} \end{aligned}$ | 10,000 | 550,000 | 379,000 |
| Union Electric Co., 8.00\% Gun. Other | 30,000 <br> 18,169 | $2,768,000$ $1,351,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,940,000 \\ & 1,012,000 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 379.879 | \$33,700,000 | \$34, 783,000 |
| Common storks |  |  |  |
| Allegheny Yower System | 50,000 | \$ 1,088,000 | \$ 1,175,000 |
| American Natural Gas | 35,000 | 1,251,000 | 1,400,000 |
| American Telephone \& Telegraph | 20,000 | 1,045,000 | 980,000 |
| Colt Industries Inc. | 9,800 | 633,000 | 194,000 |
| Consumers Power Co. | 38,634 | 1,292,000 | 1,260,000 |
| Deere and Co. | 20,000 | 1,107,000 | 855,000 |
| Detroit Bank and Trust | 9,000 | 577,000 | 580,000 |
| Fansteel, Inc. | 23,300 | 704,000 | 322;000 |
| Harsco Corp. | 20,000 | 538,000 | 438,000 |
| Iowa Power and Light Co. | . 43,000 | 1,071,000 | 1,085,000 |


| Name of issuer and title of issue | Nurnber of shares or units principal amount of bonds and notes | Amoust at whict carried in balance shee: | Value based on current market quotations at February 27,1971 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Common stocks - <br> (Continued) | Shares |  |  |
| Kansas City Power and Light Co. | 34,000 | \$ 1,145,000 | \$ 1,215,000 |
| Kansas Power and Light Co. | 50,000 21,000 | $1,031,000$ 472,000 | $1,343,000$ 232,000 |
| The Leisure Group, Inc. Manufacturexs National |  |  |  |
| Bank of Detroit | 26,000 | 1,304,000 | 1,352,000 |
| Montana Power Co. | 35,000 50,000 | 1,291,000 | 1,354,000 |
| Northern States Powex Occidental Petroleum |  |  |  |
| Corp. | 20,000 | 928,000 | $\begin{array}{r}373,000 \\ \hline 307,000\end{array}$ |
| Peoples Gas Co. | 35,000 | 1,171,000 | i. 307,000 $+260,000$ |
| Philadelphia Electric | 51,700 | 1,295,000 | 1,260,000 |
| Signal Companies Inc. | 15,000 | 554,000 | 237,000 |
| Third National Bank of Nashvilie, Tennessee | 194,000 | 4,555,000 | 5,430,000 |
| Nashvilie, Tennessee Toledo Edison Co. | 190,000 | 1,047,000 | 1,304,000 |
| Union Electric | 50,000 | 965,000 | 1,050,000 |
| Witco Chemical Corp. | 24,600 <br> 34,800 | $\begin{array}{r}960,000 \\ 1,205,000 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1,258,000 |
|  | 949,834 | \$28.237.000 | \$27,825,000 |

\$113,168,000 \$108,065,000

| Classification | Balance at beginning of period | $\begin{gathered} \text { Additions } \\ \text { at } \\ \text { cost } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Retirements } \\ \text { or sales } \end{gathered}$ | Balance at close of period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year ended } \\ & \text { February 28, 1970: } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Land | \$ 195,000 | \$ 340,000 |  | \$ 535,000 |
| Buildings | 837,000 | 3,000 |  | 840,000 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 3,906,000 | 404,000 | \$108,000 | 4,202,000 |
| Leasehold improvements | 802,000 | 118,000 | 89,000 | 831,000 |
|  | \$5,740,000 | \$ 865,000 | \$197,000 | \$6,408,000 |
| Year ended February 27, 1971: |  |  |  |  |
| Land | \$ 535,000 | \$ 133,000 | \$ 10,000 | \$ 658,000 |
| Buildings | 840,000 | 1;029,000 | 39,000 | 1,830:000 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 4,202,000 | 801,000 | 193,000 | 4,810,000 |
| Leasehold improvements | 831,000 | 142,000 | 39,000 | 934,000 |
|  | \$6,408,000 | \$2,105,000 | \$281,000 | \$8,232,000 |

## SCHEDULE VI - ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION QE PROPERTY, FIXTURES AND EQUIPNENT

| Classification | Balance at beginning of period | Additions cháged to profit and loss or income | ```Retirements, renewals, and replacements``` | Balance at close of period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tear ended Febrtary 28, 1970: |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings | \$ 235,000 | \$ 36,000 |  | \$ 271,000 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 2,213,000 | 560,000 | \$82,000 | 2,691,000 |
| Leasehold improvemants | 523,000 | 81,000 | 86,000 | 518,000 |
|  | \$2,971,000 | \$677,000 | \$ 168,000 | \$ $3,480,000$ |
| ```Year ended February 27, 1971:``` |  |  |  |  |
| Buildings | \$ 271,000 | \$ 50,000 | \$ 15,000 | \$ 306,000 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment: | 2,691,000 | 605,000 | 165,000 | 3,131,000 |
| Leasehold <br> improvements$\quad 518.000 \quad 103.000 \quad 39.000$ 582.000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$3,480,000 | \$758,000 | \$219,000 | \$4,019,000 |

## SCHEDULE XVI - SUPPLEMENTARY PROFIT AND LOSS INFORMATION

| Year ended February 28, 1970: | Cost of redemptions |  | Selling, general and administrative expenses |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintenance and repairs | \$ | 475,000 | \$52,000 | \$ | 527,000 |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 631,000 | 46,000 |  | 677,000 |
| Taxes other than income taxes: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payroll |  | 499,000 | 90,000 |  | 589,000 |
| Property taxes |  | 292,000 | 13,000 |  | 305,000 |
| Other |  | 60,000 | 9,000 |  | 69,000 |
| Rents |  | 1,621,000 | 19,000 |  | 1,640,000 |
| Year ended February 27, 1971: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maintenance and repairs |  | 464,000 | 56,000 |  | 520,000 |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 708,000 | 50,000 |  | 758,000 |
| Taxes other than incone taxes: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payroll |  | 492,000 | 98,000 |  | 590,000 |
| Property taxes |  | 384,000 | 23,000 |  | 407,000 |
| Other |  | 76,000 | 5,000 |  | 81,000 |
| Rents |  | 1,815,000 | 19,000 |  | 1,834,000 |

No royalties or management and service contract fees were incurred


## BLANK PAGE

## HICHICHTS

Fifty-two Weeks Ended February 27, 1971 and February 28, 1970

|  |  | 1971 | 1970 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Revenues | $\$ 127,567,000$ | $\$ 132,060,000$ |  |
| Income before extraordinary charge | $8,584,000$ | $7,765,000$ |  |
| Extraordinary charge | - | $(373,000)$ |  |
| Net income | $8,584,000$ | $7,387,000$ |  |
| Average shàre outstanding | $4,957,000$ | $4,877,000$ |  |
| Per share |  | $\$ 1.69$ | $\$ 1.54$ |
| Income before extraordinary charge |  | 1,69 | 1.46 |
| Net income |  |  |  |



# TO OUR STOCKHOLDERS 

Earnines for the fiscal year were $\$ 8,584,000$ or $\$ 1.69$ per share as compared to $\$ 1.54$ for the prior year. The prior year figure is before an eight cent extraordinary charge represerting settiement of a lawssit.

The increase resulted from a higher aftertax yield un our investment portfolio. Income from investments, net of related expenses, accounted for $52 \%$ of current after-tax earrings compared with $39 \%$ for the prior year.

Total revenues for the year declined from $\$ 132,060,000$ to $\$ 127,567,000$ due primarily to the elimination of trading stamps by certain grocery chains.

Many people ask me, "What are the long. range prospects for trading stamps?" My answer is, "Excrilent."

Trading stamps are the most viable retail continuity program ever devised. They have been for 70-plus years and will continue to be. Trading stamps, particularly Blue Chip stamps, make sense economically in terms of value received, by retailers who use them to stimulate sales, and by consumers who receive them as a reward for patronage.

Saving Blue Chip stamps enables consumers to acquire wanted and needed items of merchandise at values lower than discount store prices. Stamp savers can prove this fact for themselves by multiplying the number of books for any of the items in our catalog by $\$ \mathbb{\$} .90$ per book (the average amount paid by merchanis for a book of stamps). This amount compared with a retailer's selling price plus sales tax will demonstrate that stamp savers receive betier values by saving Blue Chip stamps.

This merchandising miracle is possible because of Blue Chip's volume purchasing power and efficient distribution system. The values offered siamp savers are all the more remarkable in light of the fact that the fees merchants pay for cur service are lower than they were fifteen years ago. Very few businesses can make that statemert.

The United States Department of Justice and certain private litigants have attributed Blue Chip's success to the fact that it was organized by nine retail chains. The claim has been asserted that other merchants were obliged to use Blue Chip's service because the organizers used it.

We have never believed that, but until. now we lacked concrete evidence to substantiate our disbelief. Of the nine chains that organized Blue Chip, six no longer give Blue Chip stamps. Only three of the nine still own stock ( $17 \%$ collectively) in the

Company. One of the three is among the six chains no longer giving our stamps and another has significantly curtailed issuance.

If other merchants followed the organizers into the Blue Chip program you might wonder why they did not follow them out. The obvious answer is that from inception Blue Chip has stood on its own two feet providing the beşt trading stamp program available anywhere.

Our success is based on the solid foundation of roinsumer acceptance. This acceptance is earned by constantly striving to offer consumers maximum value for the stamps they redeem and superior service exemplified by computerized inventory control in two huge warehouses and 88 beautiful, conveniently localled redemption stores. Were that not true, we would not have served $13,000,000$ stamp savers during the past year. Were that not true, our service would be of little value to the merchants who give Blue Chip stamps.

For all these reasons, I am convinced that long-range prospects for Blue Chip stamps are excellent. Revenue and earnings prospects ior the 1971-1972 fiscal year are not as favorable due to the elimination of trading stamps by several grocery chains which are experimenting with other forms of sales promotion. However, seven decades of industry experience indicates that some of these chains will eventually return to the use of trading stamps. Because Blue Chip stamps offer both sound values to shoppers and proven sales stimulation to merchants, we think our program will continue to enjoy wide popularity.

We remain under the requirement of presenting to the United States District Court by October 6, 1972 a plan for the sale of one-third of our California trading stamp business. The market changes of the past 15 montrs have increased tremendously the difficulty of developing such a plan with fairness to our stockholders, customers, and stamp savers. We now face aggressive competition from rival forms of promotions and from a major trading stamp company which has converted its California operations to our low-price, non-franchised manner of doing business. Nevertheless, we will continue our good-faith efforts to sutisfy the consent judgment.

## Cordially yours,



Donald A. Koeppel
Chairman of the Board and President


## BUE CHII STANPS

## BALANCE SHEET

Feinenary 27, 1971 and February 28, 1970

Assets

|  | 1971 | 1970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current assets: |  |  |
| Cash | \$ 531,000 | \$ 468,000 |
| Marketable securities (Note 2) | 113,168,000 | 112,288,000 |
| Accounts receivable | 7,793,000 | 6,704,000 |
| Merchandise and supplies inventories, at the lower oi cost (first-iri, first-out) or market | 17,197,000 | 19,011,000 |
| Prepaid taxes and other expenses (Note 1) | 3,449,000 | 3,504,000 |
| Total cuffent assets | 142,138,000 | 141,975,000 |
| Property, fixiures and equipment, at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization (Note 3) | 4,213,000 | 2,928,000 |
| Unamortized debenture discount | 2,071,000 | 2,430,000 |
|  | \$148,422,000 | \$147,333,000 |

Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity

| Current liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ | 5,396,000 | $\$$ | 7,851,000 |
| Income taxes paypble |  | 1,461,000 |  | 7,022,000 |
| Liability for unredeemed trading stamps (Note I) |  | 87,429,000 |  | 86,789,000 |
| Total current liabilities |  | 94,286,000 |  | 107,062,000 |
| 61/2\% Subordinated Debentures due 1978 (Note 4) |  | 10,840,000 |  | 10,840,000 |
| Stockholders' equity (Notes 4, 5 and 9): |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock, par value \$1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Shares authorized - $7,000,000$ |  |  |  |  |
| Shares outstanding - - 5,026,000 and 4,950,000 |  | 5,026,000 |  | 4,950,000 |
| Paid-in capital |  | 796,000 |  | 403,000 |
| Retained earnings |  | 37,474,000 |  | 30,078,000 |
| Total stccknoicers' equity |  | 43,296,000 |  | 35,431,000 |
|  |  | 48,422,000 |  | 147,333,000 |

[^0]
## STATEMENT OF INCOME

Fifty-two Weeks Ended February 27, 1971 and February 28, 1970

|  | 1971 | 1970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues: |  |  |
| Stamp service income (Note 1) | \$120,015,000 | \$125,988,000 |
| Interest dividends and gains and losses on sale of securities | 5,986,000 | 4,748,000 |
| Other income | 1,566,000 | 1,424,000 |
|  | 127,567,000 | 132,060,000 |
| Costs and expenses: |  |  |
| Cost of redemptions, consisting of merchandise and |  |  |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 8,558,000 | 7,275,000 |
| Debenture interest and amortization | 1,063,000 | 1,063,000 |
|  | 114,013,000 | 117,315,000 |
| Income before income taxes and extraordinary charge | 13,554,000 | 14,745,000 |
| Provision for income taxes (Note 1) | 4,970,000 | 6,980,000 |
| Income before extiaordinary charge | 8,584,000 | 7,765,000 |
| Extraordinary charge (Note 9) | - | $(378,000)$ |
| Net income | \$ 8,584,000 | \$ 7,387,000 |
| Per share (Note 6): |  |  |
| Income before extraordinary charge | \$7.69 | \$1.54 |
| Extraordinary ciarge (Note 9) | -- | (.08) |
| Net income | \$1.69 | \$1.46 |

See accompanying notes to financial statements

## BULL CHIP STMPS

## STATEMENT DF STOCKHODERS EQNTTY <br> Fify-two Weeks Ended February 27, 1971 and February 28, 1970

|  | Common Stock |  | itaid-in Capital | Ketained Earnings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares: | Amount |  |  |
| Balance at March 1, 1969 | 974,000 | \$ 325,000 | \$2,878,000 | \$24,846,000 |
| Stock split and change in par value | 3,897,000 | 4,546,000 | 12.878,000) | $(1,668,000)$ |
| Exercise of stock pptions. | 79,000 | 79,000 | 403,000 | -- |
| Cash dividends of $\$ .10$ per share (Note - 4 ) | - | - | - | (487,000) |
| Net income | - | -- | - | 7.887 .000 |
| Balance at Febreary 28, 1976 | 4,950, 600 | 4,950,000 | 403,000 | 30,078,000 |
| Exercise oil 5 tock options wote 5) | 77,000 | 77,000 | 393,000 | - |
| Purchase oi stock from terminated employees | 0,000) | (1,000) | - | - |
| Cash dividende , 3.24 per share Note 41 | - | - | $\ldots$ | (1,188,000) |
| Net income | - | - | - | 8,534,000 |
| Balance at February 27, 1971 | 5,028,006) | \$5,026,000 | \$ 796.000 | \$37,474,000 |

## STATEMENT OF SOURCE AND APPLCATION OF FUNDS

Fifty-two Weoks Ended February 27, 1971 and February 28, 1970

|  | 1971 | 1970 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source of funds: |  |  |
| Net income for the year | \% 8,504,000 | \$ 7,387,000 |
| Depreciation and mortization | 1,116,000 | 1,036,000 |
|  | 9,700,000 | 8,423,000 |
| Exercise of stock oplions (Note 5) | 470,000 | 482,000 |
|  | \$10,170,000 | \$8,905,000 |
| Application of funds: |  |  |
| Properly additions, net | \$ 2,043,000 | \$ 836,000 |
| Payment of dividends | 1,188,000 | 487,000 |
| nercase in working capital | 6,939,000 | 7,582,000 |
|  | \$10,170, , 0 | \$ 8,905,000 |

See accompanying notes to financial statements

## NOTES TO FNANCALL STATEMENTS

## NOTE ?-Accounting practices:

The Company recognizes samp service intome upon issuance of its trading stamps and provides a liability account for unrederemed irading sidmus consisting of the cost oi merchandise and related redemption expenses. For a number of years the Company has made statistical evaluations of its redemptions. Based upon analysis oi such evaluations the Company presently estimates that $97.5 \%$ of all stamps issued will ulimately be redeemed. The liability for unredeemed trading slamps of \$87,429,010 at February 27, 1971 included $\$ 75,148,000$ for the cost of marchandise and $\$ 12,281,000$ for redemption exnenses.

The Company deducts certain redemption expenses for income tax purposes when stamps are redecined. The decrease in the provision for income taxes attributable to the difference between the provision for redemption expenses when stamps are issued and the redemption expenses incurred when stamps are redeemed anounted to $\$ 127,000$ and $\$ 117,000$ in the iscal years ended February 27, 1971 and Feiruary 28. 1970, respectively. At February 27, 1971, the accumulated prepaid income laxes attributable to the dillerence described above amounted to $52,194,000$.

NOTE 2-Marketable securities:
A'arkelable securities are stated at cost (less amorlization of bond premium). Following is a summary bi inc portiolio:

|  | Coc: | Markel Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| February 27, 1971 - |  |  |
| Short-term investments. | \$ 18,928,000 | \$ 18,955,000 |
| State and municipal bonds. | 32,303,000 | 26,502,000 |
| Preferred and common stocks | 61,737,000 | 62,608,000 |
| Total | \$113768,000 | 5108,065,000 |
| February 28, 1970- |  |  |
| Short-term investenents. | \$ 22,987,000 | \$ 23,068,000 |
| State and municypal foonds | :8,280,000 | 26,232,000 |
| Preferred anid common stocks | 51,021,000 | 46,502,000 |
| Total | \$12,288,000 | \$ 95,802,000 |

The Company provides for deprectation and amortization of property, fixtures and equipment proncopatly on the straight-line basis. Total provisions amounted :0 $\$ 758,000$ and 5677,000 for the 52 weeks ended February 2\%.1971 ard February 28, 1970, respectively.

NOTE 4-Debentures and dividend restrictions; The debentures are subordinated to sentor indebteiness and to clams or causes of action, both as defined in the indenture underlying their issuance. At least $20 \%$ of the debentures must be redeemed annually beginning: December 1, 1974.

Under terms of the indenture, the Company may not declare or pay any dividend or make any distribution lexcept in stock) or purchase, redeem, or othenwise acquire of retire for consideration, any of its stock la ii, during any tiscal year, such dividends or distributions would exceed' $25 \%$ oi the net income of the Company for the preceding fiscat year, or (b) if claims or causes of action, as defined, wouid exceed $50 \%$ of the Company's stockholders' equaty or (c) if the Company were in arrears in any sinking, fund payments.

## NOTE 5-Stock opions:

Under the Compiny's qualified siuck option plan, optıons to purchase shares of the Cmimpany's common stock were ouistanding at February 27,1971, as follows: 155,500 shares at $\$ 6.10$ (including 2,000 currently exercisable) and 7,500 shares at \$14.75. During the current ifiscal year, options for 77,000 shares were exercised al $\$ 6,10$.

NOTE 6-Per share computations:
Per share amounts are based upon the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the fiscal year adjusted for the dilutive effect of all outstanding stock options. Such dilution is calculated assuming all such options have been exercised and the proceeds used to purchase shares al the average market price during the year.

Following is a summary of the shares used in per share computations:

Fiscal Year Ended
Feb. 27. Feb. 28,
19711970
$\begin{array}{lllr}\begin{array}{llll}\text { Average shares outstanding } \\ \text { Cilution assuming exercise of }\end{array} \\ \begin{array}{llll}\text { outstanding stock options }\end{array} & . & & 4,957,000 \\ & 4,877,000 \\ & & 1,23,000 & 780,000 \\ 5,080,000 & 5,057,000\end{array}$
NOTE 7-Pension plan:
The Company has a noncontributory pansion plan which covers employees mceting certain eligibility requirements. Pension costs charged to income include amortization of prior service costs over a thirty year period and are funded annually. The cost of the plan for the fiscal years ended February 27, 1971 and Felaruary 28, 1970 amounted to $\$ 400,000$ and $\$ 397,000$ respectively. At February 27, 1971 the liability for unfunded prior service costs amounted to approximately $\$ 396,000$.

## BUE OHPSTAMPS

## NOTES TO FNANICAL STATEMENTS (CONTD)

NOTE 8-Long-term lease commitments:
Minimum annual rental commitments under leases expiring through 1981 amounted to $\$ 1,700,000$ at February 27, 197 ${ }^{-1}$ excluding taxes, insurince and other expenses payable directly by the Company.

NOTE 9-Legal proceedings:
During the fiscal year ended February 28, 1970, the Company settled a lawsuit for $\$ 853,000$. The settlement, less $\$ 475,000$ applicable income taxes, was charged against income as an extraordinary charge.
Pursuant to a consent final judgment entered in 1967 by the United States District Court, the Company within thirty months atter April 6, 1970 is required to submit to the court a plan for the sale of one-thirci of its California !rading stamp business located within a contiguous geographical area in Southern Califormia. The Company believes that such a sale would have a materially adverse effect on revenues and earnings bus not on its ability to continue its business or operations.
The Company and ceriain of its present and former stockholders and directors are defendants in a purporied class action alleging breach of contract, fraud and misrepresentation brought on behalf of users of Blue Chip sternps who failed to purchase stock of Blue Chip Stamps in a 968 offering to users. The complaint alleges damiges to plaintiffs of $\$ 21,400,000$, together with exemplary damages of $\$ 25,000,000$, interest, attorneys ${ }^{5}$ fees and costs, and prays that plaintifis have the right to purchase stock, or units of stock and debentures, of Blue Chip Stamps on the terms of the 1968 offering. In the opinion of counsel for the Company, upon the facts knowri, the complaint is without merit and all defendants should prewail.

The Conupany is a defendant in nine private antitrust actions seeking treble damages together with aliorneys' fees and costs. Damages aggregating $\$ 1,050,000$ have been claimed in one of these actions; the plaintiffs in the other actions assert injuries in amounts not yet ascertained. Based on information currently available to them, in the opinion of counsel for the Company substantial defenses are available in all of these actiors and it is unlikely that the aggregate ultimate liability of the Company, if any, wit ${ }^{\text {t }}$ respect to such actions would have a materiaily adverse $\epsilon$. ect on its ability to continue its business or operations, but no prediction can be made as to their ultimate cutcome.

The Company is a defendant in a purported class action to recover for stamp savers monies collected as reimbursement for zalifornia sales taxes on redemption of stamps. Plaintifís claim that the redemption iransaction is not taxable and all such collections should be returned, or altematively that such collections exceeded the tax payable and such excess should be returned. The Company tras paid sales taxes to the state equal to its reimiursement collections, which in recent years have averaged abour $\$ 7,000,000$ annuatiy. in the opinion of counsel for the Company, substantial defenses are available and clairrs can be asserted against the state for reimbursement for all or part of any recovery by plaintiffs, but counsel cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the action.

## ACCOUNTANTS' OPINION

## Price Vaterhotse a Co.

606 Socti Offer Street

Los ANGELEs 9OO1H
April 13, 1971

To the Board of Directors and
Stockholders of Blue Chip Stamps
In our opinion, the accompanying balance sheet . the relied statements of income and stockholders' equity and the statements of source and application of funds present fairly the financial position of Blue Chip Stamps at February 27, 1971 and February 28. 1970, the results of its operations and the supplementary information on funds for the years then ended, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles consistently applied. Our examinations of these statements were made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and accordingly included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

Pine Wituhase \& Co.

## ADMINISTRATION

## BOARD OF DIRECTORS

WARREN E. BUFFET

Personal Investments

## ROBERT R. DOCKSON

President ol
California Foderal Savings \& Loan Association
CHARLES 1. FUTTERMAN
Owner oi Charles 1. Futterman and Assc ciates. management consultants:
Chairman of the Board
of Food Employers Council, Inc.

## 2. WAYNE GRIFFIN

Chaiman oi Community Redevelopment Agency
of the City of Los Angeles:
Personal Investments
JOHN P. GUERIN, IR.
General partner ot
J. P. Guerin \& Co. investors

## DOSEPA P. HUGHES

President of Hughes Markets, Inc.: Chairman of the Board of Ceritified Grocers of California, Lid.

## EMMETY H. JONES

President of Terminal Oil Company, Industrial Engineering \& Equipment Corporation and Westates Investment Co.

## DONALD A. KOEPPEL

Chairman of the Board and President of the Company

## ARTHUR D. MACDONALD

President of Coca-Cola Bottling Company of Los Arigeles

## CHARLES T. MUNGER

General partner of Wheeler, Munger \& Co., investors. President oi Spring Street Capital Co.

## WILLIAM F. RAMSEY

Executive Vice President of the Company

## RON STEVER

Chairmen of the Board of The Siever Companies, consulting acharies and insurance brokers;
Chairman of the Execufive Committee of Crescentswhaf
ANDREN IOBUF
Presideni of AiveB Suparmarkets, Inc.

## OFFICERS
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WILLIAM F. RAMSEY
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## ROBERT H. BHRD
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ERNEST P. PAULSON
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ELEANOR REYNOLDS
Assisiant Secretary

## TRANSFER AGENTS

BANK OF AMERICA, N.T. \& S.A.
Los Angeles

## BANKERS TRUST COMPANY

New York

## REGASTRARS

UNION BANK
Los Angeles
THE CHASE MANHATTAN BANK, N. A.
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BLUE CHP STAMPS
5801 South Eastern Avenue Los Angeles, California 90040

Cover scenes depict the four wecterm states in which Blue Chip Stamps operates. From left to right, David Muench captures spring beauty of sprawiing valley below 12,000 foot high Mt. Charleston outside La of sprawiing valley below 12,00 framatic golden hued sunset along Vega5. Marie Mainz provides a dramatic golden hued sunset along the Oreton coastline. Mit. Hood and Thododendrons at the shoreline. are highlighted by Murerich's use of Rhododendrons at the sherelire. The glacial valley of the Merced River is accented by the raaring
317 foot high Vernat Falls in Yosemite Nastional Parkby Muench.
317 foot high Vemai Falis in Yosemite Naitional Parkby Muench. A sandstone arch aiong the south im of Grime thational Papk. Mainz uses to frame the vast expanse of this orix resort to mark the fading desert sun.
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[^0]:    See accompanying notes to financial statements

